## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Peterson Manuel,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	:
V.	:
NRA Group, LLC; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	: COMPLAINT
Defendants.	:
	:

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Peterson Manuel, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq. (the "TCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3. The Plaintiff, Peterson Manuel ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Lauderdale Lakes, Florida, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C.A. § 153(39).
- 4. Defendants NRA Group, LLC ("NRA"), is a Pennsylvania business entity with an address of 2491 Paxton Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17111, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 5. Does 1-10 (the "Agents") are individual agents employed by NRA and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Agents may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
  - 6. NRA at all times acted by and through one or more of the Agents.

#### **FACTS**

- 7. In or around December 2011, NRA began calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 561-XXX-1656, using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS").
- 8. When Plaintiff answered calls from NRA he heard silence and there is no one with whom Plaintiff can speak.
- 9. Plaintiff never provided his cellular telephone number to NRA and never provided his consent to be called by NRA.
  - 10. However, NRA continued to place ATDS calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

#### A. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 11. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 12. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 13. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

#### **COUNT I**

# <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.</u>

- 14. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 15. At all times mentioned herein and within the last four years, Defendants called Plaintiff on his cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS" or "Predictive Dialer") and/or by using a prerecorded or artificial voice.
- 16. In expanding on the prohibitions of the TCPA, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) defines a Predictive Dialer as "a dialing system that automatically dials consumers' telephone numbers in a manner that "predicts" the time when a consumer will answer the phone and a [representative] will be available to take the call..."2003 TCPA Order, 18 FCC 36 Rcd 14022. The FCC explains that if a representative in not "free to take a call that has been placed by a predictive dialer, the consumer answers the phone only to hear 'dead air' or a dial tone, causing frustration." *Id.* In addition, the TCPA places prohibitions on companies that "abandon" calls by setting "the predictive dialers to ring for a very short period of time before disconnecting the call; in such cases, the predictive dialer does not record the call as having been abandoned." *Id.*
- 17. Defendants' telephone systems have some earmarks of a Predictive Dialer. Often times when Plaintiff answered the phone, he was met with silence and was never connected to an available representative.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants' Predictive Dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.

19. The telephone number called by Defendants was assigned to a cellular telephone

service for which Plaintiff incurs charges for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

20. The calls from Defendants to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes"

as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).

21. As a result of each call made in negligent violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is

entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call in violation of the TCPA

pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

22. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA,

Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47

U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

1. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent

pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

2. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing

pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and

3. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: February 6, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ Jody B. Burton

Jody B. Burton, Esq.

Bar No.: 71681

LEMBERG LAW L.L.C.

4

### Case 1:15-cv-00274-CCC Document 1 Filed 02/06/15 Page 5 of 5

1100 Summer Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424 Attorneys for Plaintiff